

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

μ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,044	08/02/2001	Lewis S. Ostrover	3053-040	7636
	590 01/05/2 <b>00</b> 7 CKMAN & REISMAN PO	EXAMINER		
270 MADISON AVENUE 8TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 100160601			NGUYEN, HUY THANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
·				
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/921,044	OSTROVER, LEWIS S.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		HUY T. NGUYEN	2621	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT IN THE MAILING DISTRICT DISTRIC	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the cause the application to become ABAND	ION. e timely filed  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNFD (35 U.S.C. 6 133)	
Status				
1)⊠ 2a)⊡ 3)⊡	Since this application is in condition for allowed	s action is non-final.  ance except for formal matters,		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 33-47 is/are pending in the application  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 33-47 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/original papers	or election requirement.		
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureative the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
2) ☐ Notic 3) ⊠ Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/06.	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date	

Art Unit: 2621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 22 August 2006 has been entered.

## Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/921,044

Art Unit: 2621

Page 3

3. Claims 33 –47 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-55 of copending Application No. 09/921,420. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claims 33 of the present application and claims 1 and 4 of copending Application No. 09/921,420 is that claims 1 and 4 of copending Application No. 09/921,420 additionally recited the apparatus having a <u>several inputs</u> for viewers that is not recited on claim. However, it is noted that eliminating apart is obvious in view of a practitioner in the art. See Elimination of an element and its function—In re Karlson, 153 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify claims 1 and 4 of copending Application No. 09/921,420, by provide the apparatus claims 1 and 4 of copending Application No. 09/921,420 with a input for a viewer instead of several inputs and to produce claims 1 of the present application.

For claims 34-47 of the present application, see claims 2-3 and 5-55 of the copending Application No. 09/921,420

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H.N